USFStudentGreenEnergyFundCouncil

Friday, March 24, 2017 – ALN296 Time: 3:00pm – 4:30pm

Meeting N	/linutes
-----------	----------

In attendance:

CouncilMembers

NainanDesaiBarbaraRushnellRaymondMensah,SaraHendricksBenjamirCarr,RobinRivesChauncie Bigler,TravisMalott, LaurenRiley,NadeemFreajah,KebreabGhebremichae(ChairSubstitute)

Absent:SujitChemburkarGeorgePhillippidis,AladdinHiba,HaroldBower(Chair)

Observer(s)GidiHendrixandMelodyRainey

First

PublicComment

The LEDBeard Garage has been completed. The project manage mentioned that about \$100,000 should be coming back to the SGEFAn email should be sent to the Director of Finance and Budgetin Shared Services Center to formally request the remaining budget be transferred back to the fund. After the meeting the Director of Finance and Budget researched he remaining funds from the LEDBeard Garage project. It was determined that the project still has outstanding invoices that need to be paid and therefore the \$100,000 could not be counted on a spotential additional cash to award.

Parkingand Transportation commented that they have benefitted greatly from the EVC harging Stations in Beard Garage and would like to recommend that more stations be added.

UnfinishedBusiness:

Referendum

The 2017 Student Green Energy Fee Referendum passed and will be effective for the next 3 years. The referendum will be voted on again a 2020.

A motion to wait to get more information on the email votes for the SolarUmbrellasand CPTBoiler Proposalswassuggested.

Motion voted and denied.

SolarUmbrellaProposal EmailVote

The results for the SGE Councilmember email votes was not sufficient for a decision. Therefore, this project was discussed the SGE meeting again. Since the new student council members were not familiar with this project, the proposal was shared with them so that they could familiar ize themselves with the project before voting.

The project is requesting \$7,340 from the SGE For 4 Solar Charging Umbrellas. If funded, the umbrellas would provide shade and serve as a charging station. Although there is not significant energy saving sit would be a visible Tst. and the state of the

CPTBoiler Proposal EmailVote

The results for the SGE Councilmember email votes was not sufficient for a decision. Therefore, this project was discussed the SGE meeting again. Since the new student council members were not familiar with this project, the proposal was shared with them so that they could familiarize themselves with the project before voting.

The project proposalis to install a localized, state of the art heating system for the RECool. Currently, the hot water is transported from a boiler located in the center of campus A localized condensing oiler installed at the Campus Recreation Center will efficiently replace both existing systems and combine them into a standalone system. The CPT boiler is known to operate at about 78% efficiency, while the condensing oiler will operate at 98% efficiency extracting 98% of heat from the natural gas burnt in the boiler. Using the condensing oiler would seen early 20% saving an natural gas burnt (\$2,000 \$15,768 approximates aving speryear), CO2 reduction (40 800 tons) and GHG reduction.

The hot water distribution system from the CPTboilers to REGs estimated to lose 30% heat in the heating of the pool water. By installing the localized condensing boiler, the in ground distribution losses would be eliminated. An additional saving in electricity would also come from not having to pump hot water from CPT RECFacilities Management would maintain the boiler once the project is complete. The proposal is requesting \$145,000 for the project.

The councilasked of the boiler would heat only the pool or also supply hot water for showers. Eventually, Facilities Management could fund the additional cost of a larger boiler which would supply all hot water. *Motion voted and approved.*

New Business

Proposals

Additional BudgetRequestto CoverMarketing Materials

The Student Green Energy Feehas already made provision for marketing the SGE flowever, since the fund has been used for the Week of Welcome, Arbor Day, the Referendum, and Eco Festa request to cover the remaining expenses exceeds 10 percent. The amount requested to cover the remaining expenses such as give away for Eco Fests \$2,250. Eco Feste imburses the expenses fter the event. The amount reimbursed would come back to the SGEF.

Council voted and approved the additional budget.

ElectricBus

The project presented was to purchase a 40 foot electric bus (rather than 2) in order to begin transitioning USF's dieselfueled bus fleet. The construction of the proposed lot for the bus and an upgrade for the transformer will also need to be done. Parking Transportation will savefuel costs and the GHG expected to be reduced with the purchase of the electric bus. Parking Transportation will oversee and maintain the project once it is completed. The funds being requested from the SGE for purchase the electric busis \$600,000 with \$600,000 matching funds from Parking Transportation. The council asked about the time sensitivity of the project. The project would like to have the bus for this fall, therefore the funds are needed as soon as possible. The council asked if the green houses avings

would come from TEC regyandif it would be significant. The answer was yes. Another question from the council was if the infrastructure and purchase of the bus could be done in stages. The proposer was concerned that the infrastructure would be funded but not the bus and then the funds would be wasted on just the infrastructure.

Motion voted and approved.

TreePlantingPH2
Theproject is seeking to add 69 trees at \$1,000

The council asked about the time sensitivity of the project and if the proposer could reduce the amount.

The proposer was willing to reduce it to \$294,941 so that a full garage could be done.

The council requested that this project be postponed until a later date.

Motion voted and approved.

The amount thus far for the projects requested to taled \$1.1 million. The rewasa discussion of how and which projects to fund and prioritize.

Therewasa suggestior to add the charter to the websites othat new councilmembers could familiarize themselves with it. The charter was pulled up during the meeting for the new councilmembers to refer to it. Since there was a question about basing funding on the return of investment, the Board of Governor's resolution document was referenced. The document does reference potential RO last being an important factor in funding projects.

Due to some confusion about funds returning to the SGEFthe votes at the meeting needed to be emailed out again requesting use of contingency funds to cover the deficit.

Email was voted and approved.

Activities Updates
RE@urchaseFinalProjectUpdate
Postponed.

CampusRecTennis& BasketballLEDLightsProjectUpdate Postponed.

MSCSolarPanelsProjectUpdate Postponed.

Announcements

Althoughthere is no fundingleft for immediate projects, there will be a meeting in April.

Adjourned at 5:22 PM