

# University Of South Florida; Auxiliary - System; Public Coll/Univ - Unlimited Student Fees

Primary Credit Analyst:

Sean M Wiley, Chicago + 1 (312) 233 7050; [sean.wiley@spglobal.com](mailto:sean.wiley@spglobal.com)

# University Of South Florida; Auxiliary - System; Public Coll/Univ - Unlimited Student Fees

## Credit Profile

University of South Florida Fincg Corp aux  
Long Term Rating

A+/Stable

Affirmed

## Credit Highlights

- S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'AA' long-term ratings on the Florida Division of Bond Finance's parking facility revenue bonds and the University of South Florida Financing Corporation's (USFFC, a university component unit) Marshall Center bonds.
- S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'AA' issuer credit rating (ICR) on the University of South Florida (USF).
- S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'A+' rating on its housing certificates of participation (COPs) issued for the University of South Florida Financing Corporation (USFFC) for the University of South Florida (USF).
- The outlook on all issues is stable.

## Security

We calculate the university's total pro forma debt at about \$575 million, including about \$348 million in debt outstanding as of fiscal 2022 year-end, \$247 million in debt issued in fiscal 2023 or that the university plans to issue, and exclude about \$20 million the university paid off in fiscal 2023. Our prior analysis incorporated a planned issuance of \$50 million. However, this amount has increased by an additional \$200 million reflecting the university's plans to issue debt for a new stadium. We have incorporated the planned debt issuance into our analysis and will evaluate the terms of the new debt when issued.

The parking bonds and Marshall Center bonds are secured by a fee charged to all students, which we view as an unlimited student fee equivalent bond security, equivalent to the ICR.

education, growing sponsored research, and good fundraising success. We assessed USF's financial risk profile as very strong, characterized by solid financial resource ratios that are likely to improve in fiscal 2023, a low maximum annual debt service (MADS) burden that will increase given the new debt, but is likely to remain moderate, and full accrual surpluses in five of the past six years, with the university expecting another improvement in net position in fiscal 2023. Combined, we believe these credit factors lead to an anchor rating of 'AA+' and a final rating of 'AA' which in our opinion, better reflects the university's slightly weaker financial resource ratios and demand metrics compared with other higher rated Florida public universities.

The 'A+' rating on the housing system debt reflects our view of the close connection between the auxiliary system and the university and continued effective management of the system. The system's occupancy rebounded to just above 95% the past two years from 51% in fall 2020 at the height of the pandemic. Coverage is projected to be 1.5x in fiscal 2023 and estimated to be similar in fiscal 2024. The housing system maintains about \$62 million in reserves, which we consider healthy.

The 'AA' rating reflects our assessment of USF's following strengths:

- Steady enrollment, large student body, and stellar demand characteristics bolstered by its comprehensive research university status;
- Robust financial resources with cash and investments that decreased slightly in fiscal 2022 but are expected to grow further in fiscal 2023;
- Consistent support from the state for higher education with one of the nation's lowest in-state tuition rates; and
- Experienced management team and comprehensive governing policies reflected in management's ability to react quickly to the changing economy and operating environment.

The rating is partially offset by our view of the university's:

- Limited tuition and fee-raising flexibility imposed by the state legislature for several years, thus constraining one important area of revenue generation for the university;
- Somewhat weaker cash and investments to pro forma debt given the expected new issuance; and
- Increased competition with other large Florida public research universities because they vie for the same students and state resources.

Founded in 1956, USF has a total enrollment of about 50,000 students. The main (and largest) campus is in Tampa with additional campuses in St. Petersburg and Sarasota. The university is the state's only urban-based research institution. It primarily competes with other large public universities in the state, including the University of Central

## Environment, social, and governance

We analyzed the university's environmental, social, and governance credit factors pertaining to its market position, management and governance, and financial performance. We view the university's environmental risks are somewhat elevated for USF due to its location along Florida's Gulf Coast, which makes it more susceptible to weather events and the effects of climate change. The university has insurance and plans in place to mitigate this risk partially. Despite the elevated environmental risk, we believe USF's social and governance risk is a neutral factor in our analysis.

## Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that during the outlook period, USF's enrollment and enterprise profile characteristics will remain at least stable, while continuing to generate full accrual operating surpluses, and financial resources will remain stable with surpluses and fundraising offsetting some additional cash the university plans to invest in the stadium.

The stable outlook on the housing system bonds reflects the university's solid occupancy of 95% the past two years, with our expectation that the university will maintain similar occupancy and that coverage will continue to be near 1.5x.

### Downside scenario

We could consider a negative rating action within our outlook period if university demand weakens materially, while the university begins to generate full accrual deficits and available resources deteriorate. In addition, if the university were to issue significant debt without additional growth in available resources, it could pressure the rating. Moreover, we could consider a negative rating action on the housing system revenue bonds if occupancy deteriorates significantly leading to coverage below 1.2x without the use of additional reserves.

### Upside scenario

We could consider an upgrade to the unlimited student fee equivalent if the university grows enrollment, generates consistent healthy full accrual operating surpluses, and grows financial resource ratios significantly to a level commensurate to a higher rating. We could consider an upgrade to the housing system if occupancy continues to be

decline in undergraduate enrollment but overall fairly stable enrollment over the past few years.

The university has also seen fairly stable graduate enrollment over the past few years. The university hopes to see future growth in established programs, in particular the nursing program.

About 80% of students come from Florida. In-state tuition has remained flat for ten years and remains at just \$6,410. Out-of-state tuition has also remained flat at \$17,324 for ten years and is lower than in-state tuition at many universities. The university does have some capacity constraints, which limits significant growth. The Florida legislature sets the undergraduate in-state tuition rates, and increases to such tuition levels requires a two-thirds vote of each chamber of the legislature for passage. Tuition is not pledged to any outstanding revenue bonds for USF or any other Florida public universities as tuition may not be pledged pursuant to current Florida law. The state requires a supermajority two-thirds vote instead of a simple majority of the university board of trustees (nine of 13 members) or the Board of Governors (12 of 17 members) to raise, impose, or authorize any university fee if approval by such board or boards is required by general law. In our view, while these do not weaken our current assessment of university

## Financial Risk Profile--Very Strong

Financial operations

million by 2039.

About \$180 million of total university and DSO debt as of June 30, 2022, is made up of directly placed bank debt, which we consider contingent liabilities. While this debt structure is somewhat aggressive, it is mitigated in our opinion by the debt terms, which do not include cross-default provisions, financial covenants, or events of default beyond nonpayment, which we view as conservative. We do not view the direct-purchase bonds as adding credit risk to the university's debt profile as it has ample same-day liquid funds to fully cover these amounts in the event of acceleration.

### Housing system

The security for the housing system is a gross revenues pledge that provides sound annual debt service coverage and which we view as a solid pledge due to the size of the system with 5,255 bed capacity on two campuses. Tampa housing beds account for the vast majority. Occupancy has historically been solid, at near 100%. While it fell slightly during the pandemic it has been nearly full the past two years and is expected to remain above 95%. Management calculates coverage at about 1.5x in fiscal 2023 and expects it to be near 1.5x again in fiscal 2024. The comprehensive and multicampus nature of the housing revenues further supports the 'A+' rating. The bond covenants require a look-back test to the previous year before USF can issue additional debt and the bonds must be on parity. The covenants also require a pro forma test of the two fiscal years following project financing. In addition, the covenants require that net revenues must exceed 110% of MADS plus 100% of operating expenses for those years. Existing and pro forma revenues and expenses provide solid coverage to meet covenant requirements and for MADS on a net basis.

The university entered into a public-private partnership (PPP) to build replacement and new-bed housing on the Tampa campus, which opened up in 2017. As of June 30, 2023, the project had about \$110 million outstanding.

### Pension plans and other postemployment benefits (OPEB)

The university provides retirement benefits through two pension plans administered by the state of Florida--the Florida Retirement System (FRS) and Health Insurance Subsidy (HIS). The state's pension-funded ratios exceed the S&P Global Ratings-calculated average for all states, based on fiscal 2022 information available on state pension plans. As of June 30, 2022, the university reported an unfunded pension liability of \$60 million for its proportionate share of the collective net pension liability. The Florida Retirement System is about 83% funded, which we view as sufficient. For additional details on the state's pension plans, see our Florida report published on April 8, 2022, on RatingsDirect.

USF offers OPEBs through the State Group Health Insurance Program, a defined-benefit plan, which it funds on a pay-as-you-go basis. In our view, total university contributions toward the pension and OPEB plan equaled what we consider a manageable 4.6% of total adjusted operating expenses in fiscal 2022. Overall, we consider pension and OPEB contributions to be manageable as a percentage of total adjusted operating expenses, and not financially constraining at this time.

## University of South Florida--enterprise and financial statistics

	--Fiscal year ended June 30--				
	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020
Enrollment and demand					
Full-time-equivalent enrollment	41,664	42,094	41,419	42,241	41,948
Undergraduates as a % of total enrollment	78.1	77.3	77.2	76.7	76.8


University of South Florida--enterprise and financial statistics (cont.)

--Fiscal year ended June 30--

	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020
First-year acceptance rate (%)	34.4	35.6	42.3	41.2	42.5
First-year matriculation rate (%)	19.1	21.7	24.5	27.9	27.5
First-year retention rate (%)	91.0	87.0	90.0	92.0	90.0
Six-year graduation rate (%)	N.A.	74.0	73.0	74.0	71.0
Income statement					
Adjusted operating revenue (\$000s)	N.A.	N.A.	1,780,191	1,652,226	1,607,253
Adjusted operating expense (\$000s)	N.A.	N.A.	1,731,727	1,610,035	1,613,279
Net adjusted operating margin (%)	N.A.	N.A.	2.8	2.6	(0.4)
Estimated operating gain/loss before depreciation (\$000s)	N.A.	N.A.	134,496	120,476	69,331
Tuition discount (%)	N.A.	N.A.	34.2	37.4	36.5
Student dependence (%)	N.A.	N.A.	32.4	31.4	33.4
State appropriations to revenue (%)	N.A.	N.A.	24.6	26.5	26.7
Health care operations dependence (%)	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.

v2aEearch[(N) 73 (.A.) | TJETq0 g54.0 458.054 229.0 0.5 re fQBT1 0 9 1 ,N





No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.spglobal.com/ratings](http://www.spglobal.com/ratings) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees](http://www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees).

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.